Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 48002 INEOS USA LLC RN100238708 Docket No. 2013-2160-AIR-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

AIR

Small Business:

No

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Chocolate Bayou Plant, located two miles south of the intersection of Farm-to-Market

Road 2917 and Farm-to-Market Road 2004, Brazoria County

Type of Operation:

Chemical manufacturing plant

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: March 28, 2014

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$35,625

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$7,125 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$14,250 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$0

Payment Plan: N/A

SEP Conditional Offset: \$14,250

Name of SEP: Houston-Galveston Area Council-AERCO

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Satisfactory Site/RN - Satisfactory

Major Source: Yes

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2011

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: September 3, 2013, September 10, 2013, and September

30, 2013

Date(s) of NOE(s): November 15, 2013 and December 20, 2013

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 48002 INEOS USA LLC RN100238708 Docket No. 2013-2160-AIR-E

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions. Specifically, the Respondent released 2,123.59 pounds ("lbs") of 1,3-butadiene from the No. 1 Olefins Cooling Tower, Emission Point Number ("EPN") AT-1210, during an emissions event (Incident No. 186028) that began on July 26, 2013 and lasted seventeen hours. The emissions event occurred when a hard polymer formation on the heat exchanger tube bundle caused the tubes to buckle, allowing hydrocarbon to leak to the cooling water side of the exchanger. Since this emissions event could have been avoided by better operation and maintenance practices, the Respondent is precluded from asserting an affirmative defense under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222 [30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.20(3), 116.115(c), 122.143(4), Permit Nos. 95 and PSDTX854M2, Special Conditions No. 1, Federal Operating Permit ("FOP") No. 02327, Special Terms and Conditions ("STC") No. 20, and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)].
- 2. Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions. Specifically, the Respondent released 8,606.61 lbs of ethylene, 8,414.91 lbs of carbon monoxide ("CO"), and 1,656.4 lbs of nitrogen oxides ("NO_x") from the No. 2 Olefins Flare, EPN DDM-3101, during an emissions event (Incident No. 186246) that began on August 1, 2013 and lasted ten hours and thirty minutes. The emissions event was caused by air left in the process equipment from a unit/system shutdown, which led to the acetylene reactor tripping offline due to high temperature indicators. Since this emissions event could have been avoided by better operation and maintenance practices, the Respondent is precluded from asserting an affirmative defense under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222 [30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.20(3), 116.115(c), 122.143(4), Permit Nos. 95 and PSDTX854M2, Special Conditions No. 1, FOP No. O2327, STC No. 20, and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)].
- 3. Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions. Specifically, the Respondent released 7,051.69 lbs of ethylene, 4,219.21 lbs of CO, and 831.51 lbs of NO_x from the No. 1 Olefins Flare, EPN DM-1101, during an emissions event (Incident No. 187815) that began on September 8, 2013 and lasted seven hours. The emissions event was caused by a jammed valve leading to a pressure swing in the dilution steam system which caused an upset in the Olefins No. 1 Unit resulting in the flaring of off-specification product. Since this emissions event could have been avoided by better operation and maintenance practices, the Respondent is precluded from asserting an affirmative defense under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222 [30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.20(3), 116.115(c), 122.143(4), Permit Nos. 95 and PSDTX854M2, Special Conditions No. 1, FOP No. O2327, STC No. 20, and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)].

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 48002 INEOS USA LLC RN100238708 Docket No. 2013-2160-AIR-E

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

On September 9, 2013, the Respondent replaced worn valve positioner components for control valve DPCJ-012 in the dilution steam system to prevent the recurrence of emissions events due to the same or similar causes as Incident No. 187815.

Technical Requirements:

- 1. The Order will require the Respondent to implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). (See SEP Attachment A)
- 2. The Order will also require the Respondent to:
- a. Within 30 days, implement measures and/or procedures designed to prevent the recurrence of emissions events due to the same or similar causes as Incident Nos. 186028 and 186246; and
- b. Within 45 days, submit written certification to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision a.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEO Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: David Carney, Enforcement Division,

Enforcement Team 5, MC 149, (512) 239-2583; Candy Garrett, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-1456

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: Stuart Beckley, SEP Coordinator, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-3565

Respondent: David L. Brackett, CBW Site Manager, INEOS USA LLC, P.O. Box 1488,

Alvin, Texas 77512

Theresa Vitek, SHE Manager, INEOS USA LLC, P.O. Box 1488, Alvin, Texas 77512

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

Attachment A

Docket Number: 2013-2160-AIR-E

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent:	INEOS USA LLC
Penalty Amount:	Twenty-Eight Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$28,500)
SEP Offset Amount:	Fourteen Thousand Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$14,250)
Type of SEP:	Contribution to a Third-Party Administrator SEP
Third-Party Administrator:	Houston-Galveston Area Council-AERCO ("AERCO")
Project Name:	Clean Vehicles Partnership Project
Location of SEP: Angelina, A	Austin, Brazoria, Chambers, Colorado, Fort Bend,

<u>Location of SEP:</u> Angelina, Austin, Brazoria, Chambers, Colorado, Fort Bend, Galveston, Hardin, Harris, Houston, Jasper, Jefferson, Liberty, Matagorda, Montgomery, Nacogdoches, Newton, Orange, Polk, Sabine, San Augustine, San Jacinto, Trinity, Tyler, Walker, Waller, and Wharton Counties

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative penalty amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Offset Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

a. Project

The Respondent shall contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator named above. The contribution will be to the **Houston-Galveston Area Council-AERCO ("AERCO")** for the Clean Vehicles Partnership Project. The contribution will be used in accordance with the SEP between the Third-Party Administrator and the TCEQ (the "Project"). Specifically, the SEP Offset Amount will be used to to replace old, higher emission buses that do not meet 2010 Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") emissions standards ("Old Buses") with new, lower emission buses that meet the most recent EPA emissions standards ("New Buses") or to retrofit or convert Old Buses with emissions reducing equipment. The Third-Party Administrator shall allocate the SEP Offset Amount to public entities such as school districts, local governments, and public transit authorities ("SEP Administrators") that apply for replacement, retrofit, or conversion of buses.

The SEP Offset Amount may also be used for retrofit or conversion technology that brings an Old Bus up to current EPA emissions standards. New Buses may be

INEOS USA LLC Agreed Order - Attachment A

alternative-fueled. The SEP Offset Amount may be used for up to 100% of the replacement, conversion, or retrofit cost. The SEP Offset Amount will be used only for purchase of New Buses or conversion or retrofit equipment and contract labor for installation of equipment. The SEP Offset Amount will not be used for financing such a purchase through a lease-purchase or similar agreement.

Retrofitting means reducing exhaust emissions to current standards by installing devices that are verified or certified by the EPA or the California Air Resources Board as proven retrofit technologies. Converting means replacing an engine system with an alternative fuel engine system to bring the Old Bus within the current EPA emissions standards. Buses to be replaced, retrofitted, or converted must be in regular use (driven at least five hundred miles per year for at least the past two years) by the SEP Administrator and must not already be scheduled for replacement in the following twenty-four months. All replaced buses must be fully decommissioned. The Third-Party Administrator shall provide proof of decommissioning to TCEQ for each replaced vehicle by submitting a copy of the TCEQ SEP Vehicle Replacement Disposition Form. The SEP will be done in accordance with all federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations.

All dollars contributed will be used solely for the direct cost of implementing the Project, including, but not limited to supplies, materials, and equipment. Any portion of this contribution that is not spent on the specifically identified SEP may, at the discretion of the Executive Director ("ED"), be applied to another pre-approved SEP.

The Respondent's signature affixed to this Agreed Order certifies that the Respondent has no prior commitment to make this contribution and that it is being contributed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action. The Respondent shall not profit in any manner from this SEP.

b. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will directly benefit air quality by reducing harmful exhaust emissions that contribute to the formation of ozone and may cause or exacerbate a number of respiratory diseases, including asthma. For example, by replacing a 1989 diesel bus with a 2010 ultra-low emission model, passengers' exposure to nitrogen oxides may be reduced by 98 percent; volatile organic compounds by 93 percent; carbon dioxide by 83 percent; and particulate matter by 99 percent. In addition, by encouraging less bus idling, this SEP contributes to public awareness of air pollution and air quality.

c. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator. The Respondent shall make the check payable to **Houston-Galveston Area Council-AERCO SEP** and shall mail the contribution with a copy of the Agreed Order to:

Houston-Galveston Area Council-AERCO P.O. Box 22777 Houston, Texas 77227-2777

3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Offset Amount, Respondent shall provide the Enforcement SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enforcement Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this Attachment A, including full expenditure of the SEP Offset Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Sections 2 and 3 above, the ED may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Offset Amount.

In the event the ED determines that the Respondent failed to fully implement and complete the Project, the Respondent shall remit payment for all or a portion of the SEP Offset Amount, as determined by the ED, and as set forth in the attached Agreed Order. After receiving notice of failure to complete the SEP, the Respondent shall include the docket number of the attached Agreed Order and a note that the enclosed payment is for the reimbursement of a SEP; shall make the check payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality;" and shall mail it to:

INEOS USA LLC Agreed Order - Attachment A

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Litigation Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP and/or project, made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that **the Project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ**. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

6. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP Offset Amount identified in this Attachment A and in the attached Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as a SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011 DATES Assigned 18-Nov-2013 Screening 14-Jan-2014 EPA Due 15-Aug-2014 PCW 15-Jan-2014 RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent INEOS USA LLC Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN100238708 Facility/Site Region 12-Houston Major/Minor Source Major CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. 48002 No. of Violations 3 Docket No. 2013-2160-AIR-E Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Air Government/Non-Profit No Multi-Media Enf. Coordinator David Carney EC's Team Enforcement Team 5 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$25,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 \$18,750 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. Compliance History Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 100.0% Enhancement \$18,750 Enhancement for four NOVs with same/similar violations, eight agreed Notes orders with denials of liability, and five agreed orders without denials of liability. Reduction for two notices of intent to conduct an audit.

0.0% Enhancement

0.0% Enhancement* Subtotal 6

*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount

0.0%

20.0%

The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY

Subtotal 4

Final Subtotal

Final Penalty Amount

Final Assessed Penalty

Reduction

Adjustment

Adjustment

\$0

\$1,875

\$35,625

\$35,625

\$35,625

-\$7,125

\$28,500

\$0

\$0

Culpability No

Economic Benefit

Notes

Notes

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Total FB Amounts

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7

Approx. Cost of Compliance

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

\$1,097

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Respondent INEOS USA LLC Case ID No. 48002

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100238708

Media [Statute] Air

Enf. Coordinator David Carney

	Number of	Enter Number Here	Aujust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	4	20%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	8	160%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	5	125%
Judgments and Consent	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	2	-2%
Audics	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	O	0%
	Ple	ase Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
epeat Violator	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 2)
No.		centage (Sub	total 3)
ompliance Hist	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Satisfactory	Performer Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7)
ompliance Hist	ory Summary		
Compliance History	Enhancement for four NOVs with same/similar violations, eight agreed orders w liability, and five agreed orders without denials of liability. Reduction for two notices conduct an audit.		

Screening Date		Docket No. 2013-2160-AIR-E	PCW
	INEOS USA LLC	P	Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)
Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.			PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Media [Statute]			
Enf. Coordinator			
Violation Number	· 1		
Rule Cite(s)		§§ 101.20(3), 116.115(c), and 122.143(4), Permit N	
	and PSDTX854M2, Sp	pecial Conditions No. 1, Federal Operating Permit ("FC ns and Conditions ("STC") No. 20, and Tex. Health &	
Milhiman	O2327, Special Telli	Code § 382.085(b)	Solety
мания	Failed to prevent up	authorized emissions. Specifically, the Respondent re	eleaced
нинин	B	bs") of 1,3-butadiene from the No. 1 Olefins Cooling	
MATTER ETTE	HE IN THE STATE OF	er ("EPN") AT-1210, during an emissions event (Incid	
Violation Description	Maria de la compania del compania del compania de la compania del compania de la compania de la compania del la compania del compania d	on July 26, 2013 and lasted seventeen hours. The en a hard polymer formation on the heat exchanger tube	
violation pestription	B	ouckle, allowing hydrocarbon to leak to the cooling wa	April
	a	ince this emissions event could have been avoided by	
	8	nance practices, the Respondent is precluded from as	sserting
and the second	an ammad	ve defense under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222.	
ARAGAMANA		Base	Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Prope	rty and Human He Ha		
Release			
OR Actua			
Potentia	/ <u> </u>	Percent 15.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix			
Falsification	Major Mode	erate Minor	
		Percent 0.0%	
Luman hash	th or the soutramment h	as hope avalend to inclosificant amounts of pollutant	a which
Matrix do not exceed		as been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutant ive of human health or environmental receptors as a	
Notes		the violation.	
		Adiustmont	¢21.250
		Adjustment	\$21,250
		Adjustment	\$21,250 \$3,750
Violation Events		Adjustment	-
	Victoria Control		\$3,750
	Violation Events	Adjustment 1 Number of violation da	\$3,750
	Violation Events		\$3,750
	daily		\$3,750
	daily weekly monthly	1 Number of violation da	\$3,750 ays
Number of	daily weekly monthly quarterly		\$3,750 ays
Number of mark only one	daily weekly monthly	1 Number of violation da	\$3,750 ays
Number of mark only one	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual	1 Number of violation da	\$3,750 ays
Number of mark only one	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual	1 Number of violation da	\$3,750 ays
Number of mark only one	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	1 Number of violation da	\$3,750 ays
Number of mark only one	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	1 Number of violation da Violation Base	\$3,750 ays
Number of mark only one	dally weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event One qu	Number of violation da Violation Base Jarterly event is recommended.	\$3,750 ays
Number of mark only one with an x	dally weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event One qu	1 Number of violation da Violation Base	\$3,750 ays Penalty \$3,750
Number of mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event One qu Extraordinary	Number of violation da Violation Base Darterly event is recommended.	\$3,750 ays Penalty \$3,750
Number of mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event One qu Extraordinary Ordinary	Number of violation da Violation Base Violation Base Jarterly event is recommended. Reduction e NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	\$3,750 ays Penalty \$3,750
Number of mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event One qu Extraordinary Ordinary N/A	1 Number of violation da Violation Base Violation Base Darterly event is recommended. O.0% Reduction e NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer (mark with x)	\$3,750 ays Penalty \$3,750
Number of mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event One qu Extraordinary Ordinary N/A	Number of violation da Violation Base Violation Base Parterly event is recommended. O.0% Reduction NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer (mark with x) Pespondent does not meet the good faith criteria for	\$3,750 ays Penalty \$3,750
Number of mark only one with an x	dally weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event One qu Extraordinary Ordinary N/A The Re	1 Number of violation da Violation Base Violation Base Darterly event is recommended. O.0% Reduction e NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer (mark with x)	\$3,750 ays Penalty \$3,750
Number of mark only one with an x	dally weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event One qu Extraordinary Ordinary N/A The Re	Violation Base Violation Base Darterly event is recommended. O.0% Reduction e NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer (mark with x) espondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	\$3,750 ays Penalty \$3,750
Mumber of mark only one with an x Good Faith Efforts to Com	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event One qu Extraordinary Ordinary N/A Notes The Re	Violation Base O.0% Reduction e NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer (mark with x) espondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation S	\$3,750 Penalty \$3,750 \$0 \$3,750
Number of mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event One qu Extraordinary Ordinary N/A Notes The Re	Violation Base Violation Base Darterly event is recommended. O.0% Reduction e NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer (mark with x) espondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	\$3,750 Penalty \$3,750 \$0 \$3,750
Mumber of mark only one with an x Good Faith Efforts to Com Economic Benefit (EB) for	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event One qu Extraordinary Ordinary N/A Notes The Re	Violation Base O.0% Reduction e NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer (mark with x) espondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation S	\$3,750 Penalty \$3,750 \$0 Subtotal \$3,750
Mumber of mark only one with an x Good Faith Efforts to Com Economic Benefit (EB) for	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event One quarterly semiannual annual single event One quarterly semiannual annual single event The Reformance of the semiannual annual single event One quarterly semiannual annual single event The Reformance of the semiannual single event single event single event single event single event single event sin	Violation Base O.0% Reduction e NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer (mark with x) espondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation S Statutory Limit 1	\$3,750 Penalty \$3,750 \$0 \$0 Fest ty Total \$7,500

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent Case ID No. eg. Ent. Reference No. Media	48002 RN100238708				44.000		Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
	_					5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	٧rs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description							
K. I							
Delayed Costs				10.00	\$0	±0	·····
Equipment Buildings				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$10,000	26-Jul-2013	2-Sep-2014	1.10	\$552	n/a	\$552
Notes for DELAYED costs		emissions eve	ent and the fina	l date i	s the estimated da		
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	TSF [1] avoided	costs before	27		for one-time avoi	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Disposal		 		0.00		\$0	<u>\$0</u>
Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling		1		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	<u>\$0</u>	\$0	<u>\$0</u>
Other (as needed)				0.00		\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							

Screening Date	≱ 14-Jan-2014	Docket No. 2013-2160-AIR-E	PCW
Responden	t ineos usa llc		Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)
Case ID No			PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Reference No Media [Statute	R166		
Enf. Coordinato			
Violation Numbe	· 		
Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§	101.20(3), 116.115(c), and 122.143(4), Pen	mit Nos. 95
	and PSDTX854M2, Specia	al Conditions No. 1, FOP No. 02327, STC No lealth & Safety Code § 382.085(b)	
Violation Description	8,606.61 lbs of ethylene, of nitrogen oxides ("NOx emissions event (Incident hours and thirty minutes. equipment from a unit/sy offline due to high tempo been avoided by better	horized emissions. Specifically, the Responder, 8,414.91 lbs of carbon monoxide ("CO"), and ") from the No. 2 Olefins Flare, EPN DDM-310 No. 186246) that began on August 1, 2013 a The emissions event was caused by air left in stem shutdown, which led to the acetylene researature indicators. Since this emissions event operation and maintenance practices, the Resing an affirmative defense under 30 Tex. Admit 101.222.	1 1,656.4 lbs 1, during an nd lasted ten n the process actor tripping could have spondent is
		В	ase Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Prope	e <mark>rty and Human Healt</mark> Harm	th Matrix	
Releas		e Minor	
OR Actua Potentia		Percent 30.0	0/1
rotenda	11	Fercent 30.0	70
>>Programmatic Matrix			
Falsification	Major Moderate	e Minor Percent 0.0	0/_
<u> </u>		reicem 0.0	70
Human heal	th or the environment has b	peen exposed to significant amounts of pollutar	nts which do
Matrix not exceed le	evels that are protective of h	numan health or environmental receptors as a	result of the
		violation.	
		Adjustment	\$17,500
			\$7,500
Violation Events			
Number of	Wiolation Events	1 Number of violation	on days
Number of	f Violation Events 1	1 Number of violation	on days
	daily		
	weekly		
mark only one	monthly x quarterly	Violation B	ase Penalty \$7,500
with an x	semiannual		47,300
	annual		•
	single event		
	One mont	thly event is recommended.	
L.			
Good Faith Efforts to Con	nply 0.0	% Reduction	\$0
	Before NO	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	Extraordinary		
	Ordinary N/A x	(mark with x)	
	Notes The Respo	ondent does not meet the good faith criteria fo this violation.	#.
		Violati	on Subtotal \$7,500
English Daniel (English		######################################	
Economic Benefit (EB) fo	r this violation	Statutory Lin	nit rest
Estima	ted EB Amount	\$544 Violation Final Po	enalty Total \$15,000
	This	violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjuste	d for limits) \$15,000

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	INEOS USA LL	С	~~~~~~~~~				
Case ID No.	48002						
eg. Ent. Reference No.	RN100238708	1					
Media	Air						Years of
Violation No.	2					Percent Interest	Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	rı/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$10,000	1-Aug-2013	2-Sep-2014	1.09	\$544	n/a	\$544
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs		emissions eve	nt and the fina	date i	s the estimated da	e date required is the te of compliance. for one-time avoid	
Disposal		T T		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
spection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							

Screening Date		Docket No. 2013-2160-AIR-E	PCW
Respondent		Policy Revision	on 3 (September 2011)
Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.		PCW R	Revision August 3, 2011
Media [Statute]			
Enf. Coordinator			
Violation Number	3		
Rule Cite(s)	Jo Tex. Mullilli. Code S	§§ 101.20(3), 116.115(c), and 122.143(4), Permit Nos. 95	
	and PSDTX854M2, Sper	cial Conditions No. 1, FOP No. 02327, STC No. 20, and Tex.	
		Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)	
	Failed to prevent unau	uthorized emissions. Specifically, the Respondent released	
		e, 4,219.21 lbs of CO, and 831.51 lbs of NOx from the No. 1	
and the second s		1101, during an emissions event (Incident No. 187815) that 8, 2013 and lasted seven hours. The emissions event was	
Violation Description	caused by a jammed va	alve leading to a pressure swing in the dilution steam system	
VA. 40-1.000		set in the Olefins No. 1 Unit resulting in the flaring of off-	
		Since this emissions event could have been avoided by better nance practices, the Respondent is precluded from asserting	
		re defense under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222.	
		Har Alkaeth a Baseer en minimum minimum minimum minimum jakaa a ka an	
		Base Penalty [\$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper	tv and Human Hea	iith Matrix	
	Harn	n	
Release OR Actual	Major Modera	ate Minor	
OR Actual Potential		Percent 30.0%	
	<u> </u>		
>>Programmatic Matrix	M-i M-d		***
Falsification	Major Modera	ate Minor Percent 0.0%	
	<u> </u>		
MATRIX B		been exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which do	
Notes not exceed lev	els that are protective of	f human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	411
		Modern.	
		Adjustment \$17,500	
		Adjustment \$17,500	\$7,500
		Adjustment \$17,500	\$7,500
Violation Events		Adjustment \$17,500	\$7,500
-	Violation Events 1	Adjustment \$17,500	\$7,500
-	•.000.000000000000000000000000000000000		\$7,500
-	daily		\$7,500
-	daily weekly		\$7,500
Number of \ mark only one	daily		\$7,500 \$7,500
Number of \	daily weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual	1 Number of violation days	
Number of \ mark only one	daily weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual annual	1 Number of violation days	
Number of \ mark only one	daily weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual	1 Number of violation days	
Number of \ mark only one	daily weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event	1 Number of violation days Violation Base Penalty	
Number of \ mark only one	daily weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event	1 Number of violation days	
Number of \ mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event One mo	1 Number of violation days Violation Base Penalty onthly event is recommended.	\$7,500
Number of \ mark only one	dally weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event One mo	1 Number of violation days Violation Base Penalty onthly event is recommended.	
Number of \ mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event One mo	1 Number of violation days Violation Base Penalty onthly event is recommended.	\$7,500
Number of \ mark only one with an x	dally weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event One mo	1 Number of violation days Violation Base Penalty onthly event is recommended.	\$7,500
Number of \ mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event One mo	1 Number of violation days Violation Base Penalty onthly event is recommended.	\$7,500
Number of \ mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event One mo Extraordinary Ordinary x N/A The Res	Violation Base Penalty Onthly event is recommended. Joyo Reduction NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer (mark with x) Spondent came into compliance on September 9,	\$7,500
Number of \ mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event One mo Extraordinary Ordinary x N/A The Res	1 Number of violation days Violation Base Penalty Onthly event is recommended. Onthly event is recommended. Onthly event is recommended. Onthly event is recommended.	\$7,500
Number of \ mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event One mo Extraordinary Ordinary x N/A The Res	Violation Base Penalty Ponthly event is recommended. On NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer (mark with x) Sepondent came into compliance on September 9, rior to the Notice of Enforcement dated December 20, 2013.	\$1,875
Number of \ mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event One mo Extraordinary Ordinary x N/A The Res	Violation Base Penalty Onthly event is recommended. On NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer (mark with x) Sepondent came into compliance on September 9, rior to the Notice of Enforcement dated December	\$7,500
Number of \ mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event. One mo Ply 25. Extraordinary Ordinary X N/A Notes Notes 2013, pr	Violation Base Penalty Ponthly event is recommended. On NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer (mark with x) Sepondent came into compliance on September 9, rior to the Notice of Enforcement dated December 20, 2013.	\$7,500 \$1,875
Mumber of \ mark only one with an x Good Faith Efforts to Comp	daily weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event One mo Ply 25. Extraordinary Ordinary X N/A The Res 2013, pr	Violation Base Penalty Wiolation Base Penalty Onthly event is recommended. Onthly event is recommended.	\$7,500 \$1,875 \$5,625
Mumber of \ mark only one with an x Good Faith Efforts to Comp	daily weekly monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event One mo Ply 25. Extraordinary 7. Ordinary 8. Notes 2013, pr. this violation ed EB Amount 7.	Violation Base Penalty Onthly event is recommended. On NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer (mark with x) Spondent came into compliance on September 9, rior to the Notice of Enforcement dated December 20, 2013. Violation Subtotal	\$1,875

Delayed Costs Equipment Buildings Other (as needed) Engineering/construction Land Record Keeping System Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	002 100238708 	Date Required	9-Sep-2013	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1/a \$1/a \$1/a \$1/a \$1/a \$1/a \$1/a \$1/a	Years of Depreciation 15 EB Amount \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Peg. Ent. Reference No. RNJ Media Air Violation No. 3 It Item Description No. Delayed Costs Equipment Buildings Other (as needed) Engineering/construction Land Record Keeping System Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	ttem Cost commas or \$ \$10.000 Estimated co	8-Sep-2013	9-Sep-2013	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 n/a n/a n/a n/a	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1
Media Air Violation No. 3 It Item Description No. Delayed Costs Equipment Buildings Other (as needed) Engineering/construction Land Record Keeping System Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	stimated co	8-Sep-2013	9-Sep-2013	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 n/a n/a n/a n/a	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1
Media Air Violation No. 3 It Item Description No. Delayed Costs Equipment Buildings Other (as needed) Engineering/construction Land Record Keeping System Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	stimated co	8-Sep-2013	9-Sep-2013	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 n/a n/a n/a n/a	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1
Violation No. 3 It Item Description No. 3 Delayed Costs Equipment Buildings Other (as needed) Engineering/construction Land Record Keeping System Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	stonoo	8-Sep-2013	9-Sep-2013	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 n/a n/a n/a n/a	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$
Delayed Costs Equipment Buildings Other (as needed) Engineering/construction Land Record Keeping System Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	\$10.000 Estimated co	8-Sep-2013	9-Sep-2013	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 0 0 1/a 1/a 1/a 1/a 1/a	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$
Delayed Costs Equipment Buildings Other (as needed) Engineering/construction Land Record Keeping System Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	\$10.000 Estimated co	8-Sep-2013	9-Sep-2013	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 0 0 1/a 1/a 1/a 1/a 1/a	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$
Delayed Costs Equipment Buildings Other (as needed) Engineering/construction Land Record Keeping System Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	\$10.000 Estimated co			0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1
Equipment Buildings Other (as needed) Engineering/construction Land Record Keeping System Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co			0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1
Equipment Buildings Other (as needed) Engineering/construction Land Record Keeping System Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co			0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1
Buildings Other (as needed) Engineering/construction Land Record Keeping System Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co			0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1
Engineering/construction Land Record Keeping System Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co			0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 D/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1
Engineering/construction Land Record Keeping System Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co			0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1
Record Keeping System Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co			0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1
Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co			0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) E Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co			0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$1
Permit Costs Other (as needed) E Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co			0.00	\$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$1
Other (as needed) E Stei	Estimated co					n/a	\$0 \$1
Notes for DELAYED costs Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co						\$1
Notes for DELAYED costs Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co						
		The date requir	ed is the date o	of the ei dat	missions event an te.	d the final date is th	e compliance
Avoided Costs	ANNUALI	ZE [1] avoided	costs before			for one-time avoid	
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
spection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]	************			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)			<u> </u>	10.00	\$0	l \$0 l	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$10,000			TOTAL		\$:

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN602817884, RN100238708, Rating Year 2013 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2008, through August 31, 2013.

Customer, Respondent, Owner/Operator:	, or CN602817884, INEOS USA LLC	Classification: SATISFACTORY Rating: 8.61					
Regulated Entity:	RN100238708, Chocolate Bayou P	Classification: SATISFACTORY Rating: 24.64					
Complexity Points:	37	Repeat Violator: NO					
CH Group:	05 - Chemical Manufacturing	***************************************					
Location:	TWO MILES SOUTH OF THE INTER	SECTION OF FARM-TO-MARKET ROAD 2917 AND FARM-TO-MARKET ROAD 2004					
TCEQ Region:	REGION 12 - HOUSTON						
ID Number(s):							
AIR OPERATING PERMITS	ACCOUNT NUMBER BL0002S	AIR OPERATING PERMITS PERMIT 1353					
AIR OPERATING PERMITS	PERMIT 2327	POLLUTION PREVENTION PLANNING ID NUMBER P00028					
WASTEWATER PERMIT WQ	0001333000	WASTEWATER EPA ID TX0004821					
PETROLEUM STORAGE TA	NK REGISTRATION 12999	PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM/SUPPLY REGISTRATION 0200132					
UNDERGROUND INJECTIO	ON CONTROL PERMIT 5D0400007	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 95					
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMI	rs PERMIT 101	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 75608					
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMI	rs registration 12953	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 75399					
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMI	rs registration 10465	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 10906					
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 11060		AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 11539					
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMI	rs registration 12136	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 12709					
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMI	rs account number bloods	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS AFS NUM 4803900014					
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMI	rs EPA PERMIT PSDTX854	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 488A					
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMI	FS PERMIT 491A	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 1041					
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMI	TS PERMIT 2798	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 4882					
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMI	FS PERMIT 7196	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 19868					
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMI	rs Permit 35735	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 48637					
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMI	FS REGISTRATION 71820	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 74968					
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMI	FS REGISTRATION 76394	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS EPA PERMIT PSDTX793					
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMI	FS EPA PERMIT PSDTX983	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 76826					
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMI	rs registration 76401	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS EPA PERMIT PSDTX642					
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMI	rs registration 78501	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 78483					
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMI	TS EPA PERMIT PSDTX854M2	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 87813					
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 94567		AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 97769					
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 113162		AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 109242					
WATER LICENSING LICENSE 0200132		INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE EPA ID TXD050309012					
INDUSTRIAL AND HAZAR REGISTRATION # (SWR) 30 STORMWATER PERMIT TXF		AIR EMISSIONS INVENTORY ACCOUNT NUMBER BL0002S					
Compliance History Pe	riod: September 01, 2008 to Augus	st 31, 2013 Rating Year: 2013 Rating Date: 09/01/2013					
Date Compliance Histo	ry Report Prepared: Decemb	ber 05, 2013					
Agency Decision Requi	ring Compliance History:	Enforcement					
Component Period Sele	December 05, 2008 to December 05, 2008 to December 05	cember 05, 2013					
TCEQ Staff Member to	Contact for Additional Informa	tion Regarding This Compliance History.					
Name: David Carney	/	Phone: (512) 239-2583					

Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

NO

3) If **YES** for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

N/A

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

N/A

owner(s)/operator(s)?

5) If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator

N/A

occur?

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

Effective Date: 03/12/2009

ADMINORDER 2008-1561-AIR-E (1660 Order-Agreed Order With Denial)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description: Failed to prevent rupture of Tank AF4601B roof seals.

Effective Date: 07/20/2009

ADMINORDER 2009-0292-AIR-E (Findings Order-Agreed Order Without Denial)

Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a) Citation:

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description: INEOS failed to prevent an avoidable emissions event.

Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a) Citation:

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description: INEOS failed to prevent an avoidable emissions event.

Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a) Citation:

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description: Failure to prevent the unauthorized release of 2,739 lbs of ethylene, 93 lbs of 1,3-butadiene, 303 lbs of propylene, 1,119 lbs nitrogen oxides, 5,701 lbs carbon monoxide, and 527 lbs of other volatile organic compounds (VOCs). This was caused by a malfunction of a level controller on the feed drum separator to a demethanizer column.

3 Effective Date: 11/13/2009

ADMINORDER 2009-0758-AIR-E (1660 Order-Agreed Order With Denial)

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PA

Description: Failure to prevent unauthorized emissions. Specifically, the failure of the mechanical arm caused the malfunction of DDC-201crack gas compresor.

Effective Date: 04/11/2010

ADMINORDER 2009-1084-AIR-E (Findings Order-Agreed Order Without Denial)

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description: Failure to prevent unauthorized emissions during an emissions event. The operator failed to control the liquid level on first stage suction drum (D-201).

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description: Failure to prevent unauthorized emissions during an emissions event. Specifically, INEOS failed to prevent

the loss of steam pressure which resulted in C-201 cracked gas compressor trip.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description: Failure to prevent unauthorized emissions. The valve controller to the R-202 acetylene reactor was tuned improperly.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description: Failure to prevent unauthorized emissions when the block valve to DB901A fuel line was not fully closed.

5 Effective Date: 04/25/2010

ADMINORDER 2009-1737-AIR-E (1660 Order-Agreed Order With Denial)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description: Failure to prevent the release of unauthorized emissions which was caused by the polymerization of 1,3-butadiene in

the process.

6 Effective Date: 03/07/2011

ADMINORDER 2010-1059-AIR-E (Findings Order-Agreed Order Without Denial)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.722(c)(2)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description: Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions from the No. 2 Olefins Flare, including more than 1,200 lbs per hour of

HRVOC, during an excessive emissions event (Incident No. 135112) which began on January 28, 2010

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition No. 1 PERMIT

Description: Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions from relief valves and the No. 1 Olefins flare during an emissions event

(Incident No 135522) on February 6, 2010

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition No.1 PERMIT

Description: Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions from the No. 2 Olefins Flare during an emissions event (Incident No.

134543) beginning on January 15, 2010.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(1)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT A 60.18(c)(1)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: SC 8.B. PERMIT

Special Condition No. 1 PERMIT

Description: Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions from the PP3 Flare and to maintain the flame on the flare during an

emissions event (Incident No. 137002) which began on March 13, 2010

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description: Failedto prevent unauthorized emissions from the No. 1 Olefins Flare during an emissions event (Incident No.

137806) which began on March 31, 2010.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition No. 1 PERMIT

Description: Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions from the DDG-411AN propylene product pump during an emissions event (Incident No. 138184) on April 10, 2010

Effective Date: 05/08/2011

ADMINORDER 2010-0507-AIR-E (1660 Order-Agreed Order With Denial)

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.211(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Description: Failed to submit a notification for the unplanned startup of the refrigeration compressor DC-301 in the Olefins 1 Unit on February 26, 2010. During the startup, emissions were released from the Olefins 1 Flare (Emission Point No. DM-1101) in excess of the reportable quantities for propylene, ethylene, 1,3-butadiene, and nitrogen oxides.

Effective Date: 06/20/2011 8

ADMINORDER 2010-1421-AIR-E (1660 Order-Agreed Order With Denial)

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PA Special Condition 18 OP

Description: Failed to comply with the NOx annual MAER for the NOx Other Source Routine Emission Cap. Specifically, the Respondent exceeded the rolling 12-month NOx permitted annual MAER of 86.91 tons per year for the NOx Other Source Routine Emissions from October 2007 through January 2008, resulting in the unauthorized release of 80.36 tons in this four-month period. Category A.12.i.(6) violation.

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 117, SubChapter B 117.310(c)(2)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Description: Failed to limit the 24-hour average NH3 concentration to 10 ppmv for Pyrolysis Furnaces EPN DB105, DB106, DB107, DB108, and DB109 from October 2, 2007 through September 24, 2008. Specifically, EPN DB105 had concentrations up to 50 ppmv for 2,948 hrs, EPN DB106 had concentrations up to 32 ppmv for 1,808 hrs, EPN DB107 had concentrations up to 15 ppmv for 362 hrs, EPN DB108 had concentrations up to 31 ppmv for 1,557 hrs, and EPN DB109 had concentrations up to 45 ppmv for 2,264

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Ramt Prov: Special Condition 13 PA

Special Condition 18 OP

Description: Failed to limit the NOx emission rate from Pyrolysis Furnaces EPNs DB105, DB106, DB107, DB108, and DB109 to below 0.05 pounds per million British thermal units ("lb/MMBtu") from October 22, 2007 through September 29, 2008. Specifically, EPN DB105 had NOx emission rates up to 0.17 lb/MMBtu for 52 hrs, EPN DB106 had NOx emission rates up to 0.13 lb/MMBtu for 52 hrs, EPN DB107 had NOx emission rates up to 0.14 lb/MMBtu for 78 hrs, EPN DB108 had NOx emission rates. up to 0.10 lb/MMBtu for 148 hrs,

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(1)

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(2)

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter D 115.354(2)(B)

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter D 115.354(2)(C)

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.781(b)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT VV 60.482-2(a)(1)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT VV 60.482-7(a)(1)

40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT H 63.163(b)(1)

40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT H 63.168(b)

40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT H 63.174(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: PSD-TX-854M2/ SC34.F., 34.G,35.G, 37.A. PA

Special Conditions 1A, 18 OP

Description: Failed to monitor, with a hydrocarbon gas analyzer, two pumps, 38 valves, and 20 flanges/connectors in the Olefins 2 Unit (Unit ID No. HVALVEFUG) from April 1, 2006 through July 8, 2008.

Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(1)

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(2) 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter D 115.354(2)(B)

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter D 115.354(2)(C)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122,143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT VV 60.482-2(a)(1)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT VV 60.482-7(a)(1)

40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT H 63.163(b)(1)

40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT H 63.168(b)

40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT H 63.174(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: PSD-TX-854M2/SC 34F, 34G, 35G, 37A PA

Special Conditions 1A, 18 OP

Description: Failed to monitor, with a hydrocarbon gas, analyzer one pump, 133 valves, and 133 flanges/connectors in the Tank

Farm (EPN FUG-FTF) from October 1, 2007 through August 14, 2008.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PA

Special Condition 18 OP

Description: Failed to comply with the CO hourly MAER for the Furnace Emission Cap-Phase I. Specifically, the Respondent exceeded the CO permitted hourly MAER of 184.22 lb/hr for a total of 26 hrs resulting in the unauthorized release of approximately

450.34 lbs of CO from April 17, 2008 through May 5, 2008. Category A.12.i.(6) violation.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: PSD-TX-854M2/ Special Condition 1 PA

Special Terms and Conditions No. 18 OP

Description: Failed to comply with the NOx hourly MAER for the NOx Furnace Emission Cap-Phase II. Specifically, the Respondent exceeded the NOx permitted hourly MAER of 248.95 pounds per hour ("lb/hr") by up to 288.67 lb/hr for a total of 661 hours ("hrs") from November 27, 2007 through August 28, 2008 resulting in the unauthorized release of approximately 14,553 pounds ("lbs") of NOx.

Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PA

Special Condition 18 OP

Description: Failed to comply with the NH3 hourly MAER for the Furnace Emission Cap-Phase I for Pyrolysis Furnaces EPNs DB105, DB106, DB107, DB108, and DB109. Specifically, the furnaces exceeded the NH3 hourly emission rate of 11.93 lb/hr from October 3 through 31, 2007 for a total of 102 hrs, resulting in the unauthorized release of approximately 394.97 lbs of NH3. Category B 14. This is a repeat Category B violation. Investigation number 636605.

9 Effective Date: 08/27/2011 ADMINORDER 2011-0223-AIR-E (Findings Order-Agreed Order Without Denial)

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: Also Permit PSD-TX-854M2, SC 1 PERMIT

Description: Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions in the Olefins No. 1 Unit on October 7, 2010 (Incident No. 145798)

Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: Special Condition #1 PERMIT

Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description: Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions in the Olefins No. 1 Unit on October 9, 2010 (Incident No. 145856). EIC

Cat A 12 (i)(6)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SPECIAL CONDITION 1 PERMIT

Description: Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions in the Olefins No. 1 Unit on September 29, 2010 (Incident No. 145451)

A8(c)(2)(a)(ii) EPA High Priority Violation.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(2)

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3) 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT SS 63.987(b)(1)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: Special Condition 1A, 18A OP

Special Condition 8 PA

Description: Failed to conduct the initial performance tests on the Olefins 1 and 2 Flares when they became subject to 40 C.F.R. Part 63, Subpart FFFF regulations on May 10, 2008. High Priority Violation List (HPV). Attachment A c.(1)(G) violation.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description: Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions in the Olefins No. 2 Unit on October 13, 2010 (Incident No. 146027). EIC

Subcategory A(12)(i)(6) Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)
Rgmt Prov: SPECIAL CONDITION 1 PERMIT

Description: Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions in the Olefins Nos. 1 and 2 Units on October 10, 2010 (Incident No. 145871)

10 Effective Date: 11/03/2011 ADMINORDER 2011-0614-AIR-E (1660 Order-Agreed Order With Denial)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SC 1 PERMIT

SC1 PERMIT

Description: Ineos failed to prevent unauthorized emissions during Incident No. 148890. Ineos failed to take the tower off DMC

control prior to removing an analyzer from service.

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.201(b)(1)(G)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Description: Ineos failed to report all contaminants released in the final report. Specifically, the final report submitted for Incident

No. 148890, failed to specify the release of propylene, 1,3-butadiene, butenes and pentane.

11 Effective Date: 12/19/2011 ADMINORDER 2011-1081-AIR-E (Findings Order-Agreed Order Without Denial)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4) 5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Flex Permit 95 and PSD-TX-854M2, SC 1 PERMIT

ST&C 9 OF

Description: Ineos failed to prevent unauthorized emissions during Incident No. 148890. Ineos failed to prevent an emissions event caused by failing to unblock the steam tracing line and by cracks in the steam tracing line which resulted in the release of unauthorized emissions.

Classification: Minor

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.201(b)(1)(G) Citation:

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Description: Ineos failed to report totally during Incident No. 148890.

12 Effective Date: 03/03/2012 ADMINORDER 2011-1585-AIR-E (1660 Order-Agreed Order With Denial)

Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

ST & C 19 OP

Description: Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions during an emissions event on April 19, 2011 in the Olefins No. 1 Unit during the three hour event (Incident No. 153489). Specifically, the Respondent released 2,955.21 pounds ("lbs") of ethylene, 2,311.14 lbs of carbon monoxide, 761.19 lbs of nitrogen oxides, 180.03 lbs of propane, 166.94 lbs of pentane, 3.30 lbs of 1,3-butadiene, 0.86 lb of propylene, and 0.39 lb of butenes when a gasket failed and pieces of debris fouled the TVA105B valve, causing it t

ADMINORDER 2011-1788-AIR-E (1660 Order-Agreed Order With Denial) Effective Date: 07/06/2012 13

Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(3)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rgmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

ST&C 2.F. OP

Description: INEOS failed to prevent unauthorized emissions during Incident No. 155324. INEOS failed to comply with the emissions events reporting requirements that resulted in the release of unauthorized emissions of 79.58 lbs of 1,3 butadiene; 2,900.23 lbs of VOC; 3,483.01 lbs of carbon monoxide; and 683.50 lbs of nitrogen oxides

B. Criminal convictions:

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.): December 10 2008

(727446)

Item 1	December 10, 2008	(727446)
Item 2	February 03, 2009	(722657)
Item 3	February 05, 2009	(722823)
Item 4	February 10, 2009	(726338)
Item 5	March 04, 2009	(750206)
Item 6	April 01, 2009	(750208)
Item 7	April 08, 2009	(750207)
Item 8	April 24, 2009	(737309)
Item 9	May 15, 2009	(742437)
Item 10	June 09, 2009	(768313)
Item 11	July 16, 2009	(748313)
Item 12	August 07, 2009	(741172)
Item 13	August 20, 2009	(766649)
Item 14	September 02, 2009	(760233)
Item 15	September 03, 2009	(761249)
Item 16	September 09, 2009	(924769)
Item 17	September 18, 2009	(763553)
Item 18	September 28, 2009	(762141)
Item 19	September 30, 2009	(764855)
Item 20	October 01, 2009	(765567)
Item 21	October 05, 2009	(924770)
Item 22	November 05, 2009	(746389)
Item 23	November 09, 2009	(804520)
Item 24	November 10, 2009	(746109)
Item 25	December 02, 2009	(778933)

Item 26	December 07, 2009	(804521)
Item 27	December 22, 2009	(780308)
Item 28	December 23, 2009	(777974)
Item 29	January 05, 2010	(778214)
Item 30	January 11, 2010	(804522)
Item 31	January 22, 2010	(787933)
Item 32	January 26, 2010	(787753)
Item 33	January 27, 2010	(789059)
Item 34	February 08, 2010	(804519)
Item 35	March 04, 2010	(830831)
Item 36	April 07, 2010	(830832)
Item 37	April 19, 2010	(796648)
Item 38	April 23, 2010	(795826)
Item 39	May 10, 2010	(800560)
Item 40	May 13, 2010	(830833)
Item 41	May 26, 2010	(802444)
Item 42	June 17, 2010	(824648)
Item 43	July 08, 2010	(860846)
Item 44	July 21, 2010	(841099)
Item 45	July 28, 2010	(825561)
Item 46	August 09, 2010	(824509)
Item 47	August 12, 2010	(866812)
Item 48	September 03, 2010	(841100)
Item 49	September 07, 2010	(841097)
Item 50	September 08, 2010	(873884)
Item 51	September 21, 2010	(881485)
Item 52	October 13, 2010	(866253)
Item 53	November 03, 2010	(888014)
Item 54	November 08, 2010	(866088)
Item 55	November 10, 2010	(826661)
Item 56	November 24, 2010	(826657)
Item 57	December 08, 2010	(971359)
Item 58	December 09, 2010	(896219)
Item 59	December 10, 2010	(878760)
Item 60	January 07, 2011	(843913)
Item 61	January 10, 2011	(885126)
Item 62	January 27, 2011	(870365)
Item 63	February 08, 2011	(894375)
Item 64	March 04, 2011	(893279)
Item 65	March 10, 2011	(891868)
Item 66	March 15, 2011	(885405)
Item 67	March 23, 2011	(887208) (900038)
Item 68	March 30, 2011	,
Item 69	March 31, 2011 April 06, 2011	(924768) (908551)
Item 70 Item 71		(905351)
	April 07, 2011	(914182)
Item 72 Item 73	April 28, 2011 May 10, 2011	(938008)
Item 74	June 01, 2011	(911882)
Item 75	June 10, 2011	(945331)
Item 76	June 21, 2011	(952605)
Item 77	August 11, 2011	(959286)
Item 78	August 17, 2011	(948255)
Item 79	August 17, 2011 August 18, 2011	(944175)
Item 80	August 18, 2011 August 22, 2011	(936295)
Item 81	September 08, 2011	(965319)
Item 82	October 19, 2011	(950942)
Item 83	November 08, 2011	(977519)
Item 84	December 06, 2011	(1060815)
Item 85	December 16, 2011	(971210)
		7

Item 86	January 04, 2012	(990587)
Item 87	January 10, 2012	(976895)
Item 88	January 20, 2012	(976892)
Item 89	February 08, 2012	(997948)
Item 90	February 15, 2012	(987611)
Item 91	February 16, 2012	(983940)
Item 92	March 06, 2012	(1003472)
Item 93	April 27, 2012	(996484)
Item 94	May 01, 2012	(996432)
Item 95	May 08, 2012	(1016430)
Item 96	May 09, 2012	(990200)
Item 97	May 18, 2012	(996396)
Item 98	June 01, 2012	(1002071)
Item 99	June 08, 2012	(1031546)
Item 100	June 12, 2012	(1024150)
Item 101	June 27, 2012	(1014044)
Item 102	July 24, 2012	(1019304)
Item 103	August 09, 2012	(1037922)
Item 104	September 05, 2012	(1046652)
Item 105	September 14, 2012	(1023925)
Item 106	October 09, 2012	(1030930)
Item 107	October 15, 2012	(1031134)
Item 108	October 16, 2012	(1034466)
Item 109	October 18, 2012	(1030864)
Item 110	November 08, 2012	(1060816)
Item 111	December 04, 2012	(1060817)
Item 112	February 06, 2013	(1078928)
Item 113	February 25, 2013	(1057082)
Item 114	March 08, 2013	(1089288)
Item 115	March 26, 2013	(1073193)
Item 116	April 03, 2013	(1095682)
Item 117	May 08, 2013	(1106606)
Item 118	June 06, 2013	(1110283)
Item 119	June 07, 2013	(1093422)
Item 120	June 11, 2013	(1086598)
Item 121	June 17, 2013	(1057913)
Item 122	June 19, 2013	(1095105)
Item 123	July 03, 2013	(1117167)
Item 124	August 08, 2013	(1124923)
Item 125	September 05, 2013	(1129523)
Item 126	October 21, 2013	(1105250)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

(1053667) CN602817884 Date: 02/15/2013 1

> Self Report? Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a) Citation:

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Failure to prevent unauthorized emissions. Specifically, Ineos failed to prevent cracked Description:

gas liquids from entering the process sewer and the Unit's API separator.

2 Date: 04/30/2013 (1050733)CN602817884

> Self Report? Classification: NO Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 106, SubChapter W 106.511 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) Title V O2327, ST&C 20 PERMIT

Description: Failure to keep the run time for Engine OLE2COMP2 within the maximum allowed

Classification: Self Report? Minor

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.722(d) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT A 60.18(c)(2)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

NSR 95, SC 11B PERMIT

Title V O2327, ST&C 1A and 19A PERMIT

Description:

Loss of pilot lights for Flare P-10LEF

Self Report?

Classification:

Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.722(d)(1) 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT A 60.18(c)(3)(ii)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

NSR 95, SC 11A PERMIT

Title V O2327, ST&C 1A and 19A PERMIT

Description: Self Report?

Failure to maintain the minimum heating value for Flare P-2OLEF

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4) 40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT A 60.18(f)(2)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Loss of Dock Flare thermocouple data Description:

Self Report?

Classification:

Classification:

Classification:

Minor

Minor

Citation:

Citation:

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

NSR 95, SC 49 PERMIT

Title V 02327, ST&C 19A PERMIT

Description:

Failure to properly operate flare flow meter

Minor

Self Report?

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter D 115.352(4) 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.783(5) 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT VV 60.482-6(a)(1) 40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT UU 63.1033(b)(1)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

NSR 95, SC 34E PERMIT

Title V 02327, ST&C 1A and 19A PERMIT

Description:

Open-ended lines

Self Report?

Classification:

Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.721(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Description:

Failure to maintain average hourly benzene concentration at or below 10 ppmv at the Olefins No. 1 Unit API Separator (DAPISEP) and the Olefins No. 2 Unit API Separator (HAPISEP)

Self Report?

Classification:

Minor

Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 117, SubChapter B 117.340(c)(1) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) Title V O2327, ST&C 1A PERMIT

Description:

Citation:

Downtime of continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) and predictive emissions

monitoring system (PEMS) for combustion devices

Self Report?

Classification:

30 TAC Chapter 117, SubChapter B 117.310(c)(2) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) Title V 02327, ST&C 1A PERMIT

Description:

Failure to maintain NH3 emissions from Combustion Devices (DB108 & DB109) at or

below 10 ppmv

Self Report?

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

NSR 95, SC 13 PERMIT

Title V O2327, ST&C 19A PERMIT

Failure to maintain NOx emissions from Combustion Devices (DB107 & DB109) at or Description:

below 0.05 lb/MMBtu

Self Report?

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 117, SubChapter B 117.310(c)(1) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) Title V 02327, SC 1A PERMIT

Description: Failure to maintain CO emissions from Combustion Device (HB102B) at or below the

400 ppmv limit over 11 hours

Self Report?

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4) 5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

NSR 2798, SC 1 PERMIT

Title V 02327, ST&C 19A PERMIT

Description: Failure to maintain CO emissions from Combustion Device (HB901B) at or below the

permitted hourly CO emission rate of 14.4 lbs/hr

Self Report?

Classification:

Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.764(a)(6) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) Title V 02327, ST&C 1A PERMIT

Failure to take manual samples for HRVOC from the cooling tower (HCWT) during Description:

out-of-order periods of the on-line HRVOC monitor of 24 hours or greater

Self Report?

Classification:

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 117, SubChapter B 117.345(c)(1) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) Title V 02327, ST&C 1A PERMIT

Failure to submit RATA test results for NOx monitors on Furnaces (HB101B and Description:

HB101D) within the required time frame

Self Report?

Classification:

Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) NSR 95, SC 38A PERMIT Title V O2327, ST&C 19A PERMIT

Failure to perform leak checks and/or maintain records to demonstrate the required Description:

audio, visual, and olfactory (AVO) checks for NH3 leaks that are conducted every shift

NO Self Report?

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.223(a)(2) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) Title V 02327, ST&C 2J PERMIT

Description: Failure to complete a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) in accordance with the approved

schedule

Self Report?

Classification:

Classification:

Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.764(a)(6) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Title V 02327, ST&C 1A PERMIT

Failure to perform daily calibration on Olefins Unit No. 2 Cooling Tower Gas Description:

Chromatograph (GC)

Self Report?

Citation:

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.145(2)(A)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Title V 02327, General Terms and Conditi PERMIT

Description:

Failure to include all deviations in the deviation report submitted April 25, 2012

Self Report?

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.725(d)(4)

Classification: Minor

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4) 5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Title V 02327, ST&C 1A PERMIT Description:

Failure to collect samples for HRVOC from Olefins Unit No. 2 flare during GC analyzer

system outage

Self Report? NO Classification:

Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 117, SubChapter B 117.345(b)(2) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) Title V O2327, ST&C 1A PERMIT

Description:

Failure to submit RATA post-test notification for NOx monitor on Furnace (DDB-104B)

within the required time frame

Date:

3

06/25/2013

(1086799)

CN602817884

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter G 116.715(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382,085(b)

Special Condition 1 PERMIT Failure to prevent unauthorized emissions. Specifically, Ineos failed to keep a flow Description:

meter transmitter in a proper operating condition. (Category B13)

Date:

07/26/2013

(1094172)

CN602817884

Self Report?

NO

Classification:

Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) Special Condition 1 PERMIT Special Term & Condition 9 OP

Description:

Failure to comply with the annual limits in the MAERT table of Permit 19868. (Category

Self Report?

Classification:

Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter D 115.352(4) 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.783(5) 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT VV 60.482-6(a)(1)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) Special Condition 5E PERMIT Special Term & Condition 1A OP Special Term & Condition 9 OP

Description:

Failure to keep plugged or otherwise sealed three open open-ended lines. (Category

C10 Violation)

Self Report?

Classification:

Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.722(d) 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT A 60.18(c)(3)(ii)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) Special Condition 8A PERMIT Special Term & Condition 1A OP Special Term & Condition 9 OP

Description:

Failure to operate the flares (EPN: FLARE & P4FLARE) at or above the required

minimum net heating values. (Category C4 Violation)

Self Report?

Classification:

Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.722(d) 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4) 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart A 60.18(c)(4)(i) 5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Special Condition 8A PERMIT Special Term & Condition 1A OP Special Term & Condition 9 OP Failure to operate the flare (EPN: FLARE) within the required maximum exit velocity.

Description: (Category C4 Violation).

Self Report? Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.722(d)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT A 60.18(c)(2)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) Special Condition 8A PERMIT Special Term & Condition 1A OP Special Term & Condition 9 OP

Description:

Failure to maintain a continuously operating pilot lights for the flare. (Category C4

Violation)

Self Report?

Classification:

Classification:

Minor

Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.764(a)(1) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) Special Term & Condition 1A OP

Description:

Failure to perform the annual flow meter calibrations for the PP3 & PP4 Cooling Towers

(Category C1 Violation).

Self Report?

Classification:

Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.145(2)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) General Terms & Conditions OP

Description:

Failure to include all deviations in the deviation report dated April 30, 2013

F. Environmental audits:

Notice of Intent Date:

04/30/2010 (803375)

No DOV Associated

Notice of Intent Date:

05/17/2013 (1095536)

No DOV Associated

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

	·			

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
INEOS USA LLC	§	
RN100238708	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2013-2160-AIR-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On _______, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding INEOS USA LLC ("Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 382 and Tex. Water Code ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a chemical manufacturing plant two miles south of the intersection of Farm-to-Market Road 2917 and Farm-to-Market Road 2004 in Brazoria County, Texas (the "Plant").
- 2. The Plant consists of one or more sources as defined in Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.003(12).
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notices of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about November 20, 2013 and December 25, 2013.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Thirty-Five Thousand Six Hundred Twenty-Five Dollars (\$35,625) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Fourteen Thousand Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$14,250) of the administrative penalty and Seven Thousand One

Hundred Twenty-Five Dollars (\$7,125) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty. Fourteen Thousand Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$14,250) shall be conditionally offset by the Respondent's completion of a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP").

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that on September 9, 2013, the Respondent replaced worn valve positioner components for control valve DPCJ-012 in the dilution steam system to prevent the recurrence of emissions events due to the same or similar causes as Incident No. 187815.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Plant, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.20(3), 116.115(c), 122.143(4), Permit Nos. 95 and PSDTX854M2, Special Conditions No. 1, Federal Operating Permit ("FOP") No. O2327, Special Terms and Conditions ("STC") No. 20, and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), as documented during a record review conducted on September 10, 2013. Specifically, the Respondent released 2,123.59 pounds ("lbs") of 1,3-butadiene from the No. 1 Olefins Cooling Tower, Emission Point Number ("EPN") AT-1210, during an emissions event (Incident No. 186028) that began on July 26, 2013 and lasted seventeen hours. The emissions event occurred when a hard polymer formation on the heat exchanger tube bundle caused the tubes to buckle, allowing hydrocarbon to leak to the cooling water side of the exchanger. Since this emissions event could have been avoided by better operation

and maintenance practices, the Respondent is precluded from asserting an affirmative defense under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222.

- 2. Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.20(3), 116.115(c), 122.143(4), Permit Nos. 95 and PSDTX854M2, Special Conditions No. 1, FOP No. O2327, STC No. 20, and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), as documented during a record review conducted on September 3, 2013. Specifically, the Respondent released 8,606.61 lbs of ethylene, 8,414.91 lbs of carbon monoxide ("CO"), and 1,656.4 lbs of nitrogen oxides ("NO_x") from the No. 2 Olefins Flare, EPN DDM-3101, during an emissions event (Incident No. 186246) that began on August 1, 2013 and lasted ten hours and thirty minutes. The emissions event was caused by air left in the process equipment from a unit/system shutdown, which led to the acetylene reactor tripping offline due to high temperature indicators. Since this emissions event could have been avoided by better operation and maintenance practices, the Respondent is precluded from asserting an affirmative defense under 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 101.222.
- 3. Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.20(3), 116.115(c), 122.143(4), Permit Nos. 95 and PSDTX854M2, Special Conditions No. 1, FOP No. O2327, STC No. 20, and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), as documented during a record review conducted on September 30, 2013. Specifically, the Respondent released 7,051.69 lbs of ethylene, 4,219.21 lbs of CO, and 831.51 lbs of NO_x from the No. 1 Olefins Flare, EPN DM-1101, during an emissions event (Incident No. 187815) that began on September 8, 2013 and lasted seven hours. The emissions event was caused by a jammed valve leading to a pressure swing in the dilution steam system which caused an upset in the Olefins No. 1 Unit resulting in the flaring of off-specification product. Since this emissions event could have been avoided by better operation and maintenance practices, the Respondent is precluded from asserting an affirmative defense under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: INEOS USA LLC, Docket No. 2013-2160-AIR-E" to:

INEOS USA LLC DOCKET NO. 2013-2160-AIR-E Page 4

> Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The Respondent shall implement and complete a SEP in accordance with Tex. Water Code § 7.067. As set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above, Fourteen Thousand Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$14,250) of the assessed administrative penalty shall be offset with the condition that the SEP defined in Attachment A, incorporated herein by reference, is implemented by the Respondent. The Respondent's obligation to pay the conditionally offset portion of the administrative penalty assessed shall be discharged upon final completion of all provisions of the SEP agreement.
- 3. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, implement measures and/or procedures designed to prevent the recurrence of emissions events due to the same or similar causes as Incident Nos. 186028 and 186246; and
 - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 3.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Air Section Manager Houston Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 5425 Polk Avenue, Suite H Houston, Texas 77023-1486

- 4. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Plant operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 5. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 6. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 7. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 8. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 9. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Total Commission	
For the Commission	
For the Executive Director	<u>4/28/14</u> Date
agree to the attached Agreed Order on beha	and the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to alf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I led therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in is materially relying on such representation.
 and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amo A negative impact on compliance hist Greater scrutiny of any permit applied Referral of this case to the Attorney additional penalties, and/or attorney Increased penalties in any future enformation. Automatic referral to the Attorney of and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized 	tory; cations submitted; ey General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, res, or to a collection agency; orcement actions; General's Office of any future enforcement actions;
Afler Signature	$\frac{2/22}{2014}$
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of INEOS USA LLC	CBW SITE MAHAGER Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

Attachment A

Docket Number: 2013-2160-AIR-E

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent:	INEOS USA LLC		
Penalty Amount:	Twenty-Eight Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$28,500)		
SEP Offset Amount:	Fourteen Thousand Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$14,250)		
Type of SEP:	Contribution to a Third-Party Administrator SEP		
Third-Party Administrator:	Houston-Galveston Area Council-AERCO ("AERCO")		
Project Name:	Clean Vehicles Partnership Project		
	Austin, Brazoria, Chambers, Colorado, Fort Bend, Jouston, Jasper, Jefferson, Liberty, Matagorda,		

Location of SEP: Angelina, Austin, Brazoria, Chambers, Colorado, Fort Bend, Galveston, Hardin, Harris, Houston, Jasper, Jefferson, Liberty, Matagorda, Montgomery, Nacogdoches, Newton, Orange, Polk, Sabine, San Augustine, San Jacinto, Trinity, Tyler, Walker, Waller, and Wharton Counties

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative penalty amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Offset Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

a. Project

The Respondent shall contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator named above. The contribution will be to the **Houston-Galveston Area Council-AERCO ("AERCO")** for the *Clean Vehicles Partnership Project*. The contribution will be used in accordance with the SEP between the Third-Party Administrator and the TCEQ (the "Project"). Specifically, the SEP Offset Amount will be used to replace old, higher emission buses that do not meet 2010 Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") emissions standards ("Old Buses") with new, lower emission buses that meet the most recent EPA emissions standards ("New Buses") or to retrofit or convert Old Buses with emissions reducing equipment. The Third-Party Administrator shall allocate the SEP Offset Amount to public entities such as school districts, local governments, and public transit authorities ("SEP Administrators") that apply for replacement, retrofit, or conversion of buses.

The SEP Offset Amount may also be used for retrofit or conversion technology that brings an Old Bus up to current EPA emissions standards. New Buses may be

INEOS USA LLC Agreed Order - Attachment A

alternative-fueled. The SEP Offset Amount may be used for up to 100% of the replacement, conversion, or retrofit cost. The SEP Offset Amount will be used only for purchase of New Buses or conversion or retrofit equipment and contract labor for installation of equipment. The SEP Offset Amount will not be used for financing such a purchase through a lease-purchase or similar agreement.

Retrofitting means reducing exhaust emissions to current standards by installing devices that are verified or certified by the EPA or the California Air Resources Board as proven retrofit technologies. Converting means replacing an engine system with an alternative fuel engine system to bring the Old Bus within the current EPA emissions standards. Buses to be replaced, retrofitted, or converted must be in regular use (driven at least five hundred miles per year for at least the past two years) by the SEP Administrator and must not already be scheduled for replacement in the following twenty-four months. All replaced buses must be fully decommissioned. The Third-Party Administrator shall provide proof of decommissioning to TCEQ for each replaced vehicle by submitting a copy of the TCEQ SEP Vehicle Replacement Disposition Form. The SEP will be done in accordance with all federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations.

All dollars contributed will be used solely for the direct cost of implementing the Project, including, but not limited to supplies, materials, and equipment. Any portion of this contribution that is not spent on the specifically identified SEP may, at the discretion of the Executive Director ("ED"), be applied to another pre-approved SEP.

The Respondent's signature affixed to this Agreed Order certifies that the Respondent has no prior commitment to make this contribution and that it is being contributed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action. The Respondent shall not profit in any manner from this SEP.

b. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will directly benefit air quality by reducing harmful exhaust emissions that contribute to the formation of ozone and may cause or exacerbate a number of respiratory diseases, including asthma. For example, by replacing a 1989 diesel bus with a 2010 ultra-low emission model, passengers' exposure to nitrogen oxides may be reduced by 98 percent; volatile organic compounds by 93 percent; carbon dioxide by 83 percent; and particulate matter by 99 percent. In addition, by encouraging less bus idling, this SEP contributes to public awareness of air pollution and air quality.

c. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator. The Respondent shall make the check payable to **Houston-Galveston Area Council-AERCO SEP** and shall mail the contribution with a copy of the Agreed Order to:

Houston-Galveston Area Council-AERCO P.O. Box 22777 Houston, Texas 77227-2777

3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Offset Amount, Respondent shall provide the Enforcement SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enforcement Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this Attachment A, including full expenditure of the SEP Offset Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Sections 2 and 3 above, the ED may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Offset Amount.

In the event the ED determines that the Respondent failed to fully implement and complete the Project, the Respondent shall remit payment for all or a portion of the SEP Offset Amount, as determined by the ED, and as set forth in the attached Agreed Order. After receiving notice of failure to complete the SEP, the Respondent shall include the docket number of the attached Agreed Order and a note that the enclosed payment is for the reimbursement of a SEP; shall make the check payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality;" and shall mail it to:

INEOS USA LLC Agreed Order - Attachment A

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Litigation Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP and/or project, made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that **the Project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ**. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

6. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP Offset Amount identified in this Attachment A and in the attached Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as a SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.